

**Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 21-22) - Summary
Filed for the July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 Period**

Successor Agency: San Jacinto

County: Riverside

Current Period Requested Funding for Enforceable Obligations (ROPS Detail)	21-22A Total (July - December)	21-22B Total (January - June)	ROPS 21-22 Total
A Enforceable Obligations Funded as Follows (B+C+D)	\$ 41,405	\$ -	\$ 41,405
B Bond Proceeds	-	-	-
C Reserve Balance	41,405	-	41,405
D Other Funds	-	-	-
E Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) (F+G)	\$ 1,831,626	\$ 1,512,649	\$ 3,344,275
F RPTTF	1,781,626	1,462,649	3,244,275
G Administrative RPTTF	50,000	50,000	100,000
H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E)	\$ 1,873,031	\$ 1,512,649	\$ 3,385,680

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:

Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor agency.

Name Title

/s/ _____
Signature Date

San Jacinto
Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 21-22) - ROPS Detail
July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
Item #	Project Name	Obligation Type	Agreement Execution Date	Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description	Project Area	Total Outstanding Obligation	Retired	ROPS 21-22 Total	ROPS 21-22A (Jul - Dec)					21-22A Total	ROPS 21-22B (Jan - Jun)					21-22B Total
											Fund Sources						Fund Sources					
											Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF		Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF	
								\$10,860,050		\$3,385,680	\$-	\$41,405	\$-	\$1,781,626	\$50,000	\$1,873,031	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$1,462,649	\$50,000	\$1,512,649
1	2005 Tax Allocation Bonds	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	05/17/2005	08/01/2034	Union Bank	Bonds issued to fund various projects	San Jacinto	8,022,385	N	\$574,015	-	-	-	445,495	-	\$445,495	-	-	-	128,520	-	\$128,520
3	Employee Costs	Admin Costs	07/01/2020	06/30/2021	Employees - City of San Jacinto	Administrative Cost Allowance - Payroll & benefits	San Jacinto	33,360	N	\$33,360	-	-	-	-	16,680	\$16,680	-	-	-	-	16,680	\$16,680
7	Contract for consulting services	Admin Costs	07/01/2020	06/30/2021	Best Best & Krieger, LLP	Legal counsel	San Jacinto	15,000	N	\$15,000	-	-	-	-	7,500	\$7,500	-	-	-	-	7,500	\$7,500
8	Housing rehab loan	OPA/DDA/ Construction	10/06/2009	10/06/2054	Julien Kim & various contractors	Housing rehab loan	San Jacinto	41,405	N	\$41,405	-	41,405	-	-	-	\$41,405	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
9	Contract for fiscal agent services	Fees	05/17/2005	08/01/2034	Union Bank	Fiscal agent services related to Bond debt	San Jacinto	28,000	N	\$2,000	-	-	-	2,000	-	\$2,000	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
11	Administrative costs	Admin Costs	07/01/2020	06/30/2021	Various vendors	Administrative Cost Allowance - Miscellaneous costs	San Jacinto	51,640	N	\$51,640	-	-	-	-	25,820	\$25,820	-	-	-	-	25,820	\$25,820
16	Agreement/ Contract	Miscellaneous	06/28/1983	06/28/2028	San Jacinto Unified School District	Pre-Dissolution Unfunded Pass-Throughs Not Paid By County A-C Per HSC 34183	San Jacinto (Original)	1,736,205	N	\$1,736,205	-	-	-	868,103	-	\$868,103	-	-	-	868,102	-	\$868,102
17	Agreement/ Contract	Miscellaneous	12/28/1993	06/28/2038	San Jacinto Unified School District	Pre-Dissolution Unfunded Pass-Throughs Not Paid By County A-C	San Jacinto (Added Area)	932,055	N	\$932,055	-	-	-	466,028	-	\$466,028	-	-	-	466,027	-	\$466,027

San Jacinto
Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 21-22) - Report of Cash Balances
July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019
(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (l), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation.							
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
		Fund Sources					
		Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	
	ROPS 18-19 Cash Balances (07/01/18 - 06/30/19)	Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds issued on or after 01/01/11	Prior ROPS RPTTF and Reserve Balances retained for future period(s)	Rent, grants, interest, etc.	Non-Admin and Admin	Comments
1	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/18) RPTTF amount should exclude "A" period distribution amount.				476,455	(234,363)	GL balance less \$501,976 from line 2 (received in 6/18)
2	Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/19) RPTTF amount should tie to the ROPS 18-19 total distribution from the County Auditor-Controller				6,421	725,633	
3	Expenditures for ROPS 18-19 Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/19)					662,728	
4	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 06/30/19) RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as reserve for future period(s)						
5	ROPS 18-19 RPTTF Prior Period Adjustment RPTTF amount should tie to the Agency's ROPS 18-19 PPA form submitted to the CAC		No entry required				
6	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance (06/30/19) C to F = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$482,876	\$(171,458)	

San Jacinto
Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 21-22) - Notes
July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022

Item #	Notes/Comments
1	
3	
7	
8	
9	
11	
16	The SA recently discovered that pass-through payments to SJUSD were significantly underpaid prior to RDA dissolution. The County Auditor-Controller has already corrected post-dissolution underpayments to SJUSD from this agreement. These pre-dissolution pass-throughs are not "passthrough payments made by the county auditor-controller pursuant to Section 34183." The SA has determined that pre-dissolution underpayments, including principal and accrued interest (required by Section 3.3 of the Agreement) were not paid as pass-throughs to other affected taxing entities, but were retained by the former RDA for its own benefit. Accordingly, Agency has determined that these pre-dissolution underpayments represent newly discovered, though pre-existing, enforceable obligations of the Agency per HSC Section 34171(d)(i) that already existed as enforceable obligations of the former RDA when it was dissolved on February 1, 2012.
17	The SA recently discovered that pass-through payments to SJUSD were significantly underpaid prior to RDA dissolution. The County Auditor-Controller has already corrected post-dissolution underpayments to SJUSD from this agreement. These pre-dissolution pass-throughs are not "passthrough payments made by the county auditor-controller pursuant to Section 34183." The SA has determined that pre-dissolution underpayments, including principal and accrued interest (required by Section 2.0 of the Agreement) were not paid as pass-throughs to other affected taxing entities, but were retained by the former RDA for its own benefit. Accordingly, Agency has determined that these pre-dissolution underpayments represent newly discovered, though pre-existing, enforceable obligations of the Agency per HSC Section 34171(d)(i) that already existed as enforceable obligations of the former RDA when it was dissolved on February 1, 2012.